

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/536,820	HUANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Virginia M Kibler	Art Unit 2623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mehrdad Dastouri.

(3) _____.

(2) Richard Lyon (Reg. No. 37,385).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 September 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,10 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' assertion that the Examiner must interpret the term "face pose" as recited in the claims to mean the pitch, roll, and yaw angles that describe the position of a person's head was discussed. Considering numerous publications (IEEE, ACM, SPIE, etc.) for face recognition that define a "face pose" by only one rotation angle, Applicants are requested to provide evidence (technical definition) that "face pose" must include all three (roll, yaw, and pitch) components. The Examiner refers to page 19 of Applicants' disclosures in which "face pose" for depicting the persons could be any combination of pitch, roll, and yaw angles and further shows that in technical literatures "3D face pose" is the technical term for using three angles for face detection.

MEHRDAD DASTOURI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mehrdad Dastouri